### Weather

**By J.K. Dinen**

At time when San Francisco companies are leaving downtown in droves, a new developer is backing the trend by construct-
ing a new office tower at Fifth and Mission streets.

Since the start of COVID-2019 in March, the city’s office buildings were emptying out and rents plummeting, the 33-story high-rise has sprawled at 445 Natoma St., just south of the Chronicle building. Construction workers recently topped off the high-rise, a building, part of the larger JPM building that will whaling in, especially in Berkeley. The value of a piece of build-
able property three blocks from campus, even one drenched in history and symbolism, has grown.

Now, the park is targeted once again for development — and while a new battle is brewing, it seems likely to be fought in the same way this time.

"The university plans a building on the site of up to 1,000 students, with as many as 500 beds for students. A second building would contain 50 beds for people needing sup-
portive housing, in a city where the University of California Berkeley continues on A8
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**OFFICES**

**By Bob Egelko**

When the Supreme Court ordered California to allow churches to open their doors during the coronavirus pandemic, it may also have set a boundary shift in the legal boundaries between church and state.

More than 30 years ago, the court, in an opinion by one of its most conservative justices, said states can impose restrictions on religious con-
stitutions and their followers under laws that apply to other individuals and organizations.

In a late-night ruling Feb. 5, a 6-3 majority of justices said Gov. Gavin Newsom’s ban on indoor wor-
ship is unconstitutional in most cases.
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